



INTERIOR BOARD OF INDIAN APPEALS

Estate of Felix Dickens, Sr.

56 IBIA 37 (11/21/2012)

standing.³ The Board also ordered Appellant to explain what specific errors he believed the IPJ committed in the Reopening Order or any of the orders issued regarding Decedent's estate. The Board set a deadline of October 9, 2012, for Appellant to comply with the Board's order, and advised Appellant that if he failed to comply with or respond to the Board's order, his appeal might be dismissed without further notice.

The U.S. Postal Service's Track-and-Confirm service on its website indicates that Appellant received the Board's order on September 14, 2012.

The Board has received no response from Appellant.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to the Board of Indian Appeals by the Secretary of the Interior, 43 C.F.R. § 4.1, the Board docketed but dismisses this appeal for failure to prosecute.

I concur:

 // original signed
Steven K. Linscheid
Chief Administrative Judge

 //original signed
Debora G. Luther
Administrative Judge

³ Appellant appeared to be concerned about the effect of the Reopening Order on the children of Hunter and Dye. Appellant contended that these individuals should also receive a share of Decedent's property that was distributed pursuant to AIPRA. As the Board noted in its September 7, 2012, pre-docketing notice, there was no indication Appellant filed the appeal on behalf of one or more of the children of Hunter or Dye, or that he would have authority to do so.