



INTERIOR BOARD OF INDIAN APPEALS

U.S. Fish Corp. v. Eastern Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs

26 IBIA 117 (07/13/1994)

Denying reconsideration of:

20 IBIA 93  
20 IBIA 163  
26 IBIA 80

Related judicial case seeking tort damages:

Dismissed as to United States, and returned to state court as to Seminole Tribe,  
*U.S. Fish Corp. v. Seminole Tribe of Florida, Inc. and United States*,  
No. 92-6644-CIV-Highsmith (S.D.Fla. Feb. 19, 1993)



[Plaintiff] has not shown that the court has clearly misstated or misconstrued the law applicable to this matter.

The court notes that [plaintiff], until recently, was represented by very competent counsel in this action. \* \* \* The fact that [plaintiff] now chooses to proceed pro se does not, in and of itself, entitle him to revisit issues already ruled upon by this court or to elicit explanations of legal terms or legal reasoning used by the court in prior opinions. The court cannot function as a legal advisor to [plaintiff], nor as his advocate in this matter.

Although the Board understands that appellant continues to attempt to represent himself, appellant's failure to understand, or desire for further elaboration of, the Board's decision does not grant the Board authority it does not otherwise have. The initial decision in this matter contained all of the reasoning and explanation necessary for that decision. The Board declines to explain the decision further.

Appellant has exhausted his administrative appeals within the Department of the Interior. Any further filings by him in this case will not be considered.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Board of Indian Appeals by the Secretary of the Interior, 43 CFR 4.1, this third petition for reconsideration is denied.

\_\_\_\_\_  
//original signed  
Kathryn A. Lynn  
Chief Administrative Judge

\_\_\_\_\_  
//original signed  
Anita Vogt  
Administrative Judge